

Exhibit B

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

IN RE:) C-05-01114-JW
ACACIA MEDIA)
TECHNOLOGIES) SEPTEMBER 8, 2006
CORPORATION.)
PAGES 1-211

THE PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD BEFORE
THE HONORABLE UNITED STATES DISTRICT
JUDGE JAMES WARE

A P P E A R A N C E S:

FOR THE PLAINTIFFS: HENNIGAN, BENNETT & DORMAN
BY: RODERICK G. DORMAN
ALAN P. BLOCK
865 SOUTH FIGUEROA STREET
SUITE 2900
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90017

FOR THE DEFENDANTS: KEKER & VAN NEST
BY: DARALYN J. DURIE
DAVID J. SILBERT
710 SANSOME STREET
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA
94111

(APPEARANCES CONTINUED ON THE NEXT PAGE.)

OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER: IRENE RODRIGUEZ, CSR, CRR
CERTIFICATE NUMBER 8074

U. S. COURT REPORTERS

1 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. BUT IT COULD BE A
2 PHYSICAL OBJECT. IT'S NOT LIMITED TO BUT IT COULD
3 BE.

4 MR. BLOCK: RIGHT.

5 THE COURT: SO IF IT IS A PHYSICAL
6 OBJECT, AT THAT POINT I CANNOT INPUT IT INTO THE
7 ANALOG OR DIGITAL CONVERTERS.

8 MR. BLOCK: BUT THE CLAIM DOESN'T
9 DISTINGUISH BETWEEN PHYSICAL.

10 THE COURT: YOU STARTED YOUR ANSWER WITH
11 A "BUT." BUT IS THAT CORRECT?

12 MR. BLOCK: YES.

13 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. SO AT LEAST IF I
14 DEFINE THE "ITEM CONTAINING INFORMATION" AS HAVING
15 THIS PHYSICALITY WHICH I KNOW WE'RE ARGUING ABOUT
16 BUT I HAVE TO COME BACK TO, I CAN'T DO IT AT STEPS
17 124, 127. I HAVE TO DO BACKWARDS.

18 MR. BLOCK: BUT YOU HAVE THE CHOICE OF
19 TWO STILL.

20 THE COURT: YES. SO IS THAT THE DISPUTE
21 TO LIMIT IT TO 111, OR 112, OR BOTH?

22 MR. BLOCK: WELL, MR. BENYACAR WANTS TO
23 LIMIT YOU TO 111 ONLY AND I'M SAYING DON'T PUT A
24 LIMITATION IN BECAUSE IT CAN BE ANY ONE OF THESE
25 THREE THINGS.

90

U. S. COURT REPORTERS

1 THE COURT: OKAY. AND THIS GOES BACK TO
2 YESTERDAY AND I WAS TRYING TO REMIND MYSELF HOW I
3 WAS THINKING ABOUT IT YESTERDAY BUT WE HAD A DEBATE

4 YESTERDAY BECAUSE IT'S THE SAME SPECIFICATION AS TO
5 WHETHER OR NOT THE IDENTIFICATION ENCODER OR THAT
6 PROCESS CAN RECEIVE THE ITEM CONTAINING INFORMATION
7 AND OPERATE ON IT OR MUST THAT, MUST THAT TAKE
8 PLACE SOMEPLACE ELSE?

9 AND YOUR POSITION IS THAT THE
10 IDENTIFICATION ENCODING PROCESS OR THE
11 IDENTIFICATION ENCODER, HOWEVER YOU CALL IT, IS
12 CAPABLE OF, OF RECEIVING THE ITEM CONTAINING
13 INFORMATION AND TO EXTRACTING FROM THAT ITEM
14 CONTAINING INFORMATION THE INFORMATION.

15 MR. BLOCK: YES, BUT THERE ARE OTHER
16 EXAMPLES IN HERE OF CONVERTERS THAT DO THAT AS WELL
17 LIKE THE TELECINE THAT ARE NOT NECESSARILY PART OF
18 THE IDENTIFICATION ENCODER.

19 THE COURT: YEAH, I'M NOT LIMITING MYSELF
20 TO THAT. I KNOW ABOUT THAT DEBATE. SO DO I NEED
21 TO WORRY ABOUT HOW THAT IS DONE, HOW THE INPUTTING
22 IS DONE?

23 MR. BLOCK: I DON'T BELIEVE SO, IT'S
24 INPUTTING. IT'S THE TERM OF ORDINARY MEANING OF
25 PROVIDING, PROVIDING INFORMATION. IT'S A STEP, A

91

U. S. COURT REPORTERS

1 METHOD STEP.

2 THE COURT: BUT SOMEHOW THE INPUTTING
3 SUGGESTS TO ME THAT I NEED TO DEFINE THE, THE, THE
4 RECIPIENT AS CAPABLE OF RECEIVING IT.

5 MR. BLOCK: AND THE CLAIM ALREADY DOES

6 THAT, IT SAYS IN THE TRANSMISSION SYSTEM.

7 THE COURT: NOW, AS TO THE SOURCE
8 MATERIAL LIBRARY, I GUESS THE POINT THAT WAS BEING
9 MADE TO ME WAS THAT THERE WAS NOTHING ABOUT THE
10 DESCRIPTION OF THAT THAT DEFINES IT AS, AS CAPABLE
11 OF HAVING INPUTS AND YOU'RE SAYING IT'S INHERENT IN
12 THE DEFINITION OF A SOURCE MATERIAL.

13 MR. BENYACAR: WHAT I PUT UP, BECAUSE I
14 WAS SURPRISED TO HEAR MR. BLOCK SAY WHAT HE HAD TO
15 SAY BECAUSE I WAS SURPRISED TO HEAR WHAT MR. BLOCK
16 HAD TO SAY ABOUT TRANSFORMED INTO A TRANSMISSION
17 SYSTEM.

18 THIS IS PARAGRAPH 16 OF THE STIPULATION
19 BETWEEN THE PARTIES. YOU SEE THE -- THIS STEP A IS
20 THE INPUTTING AN ITEM HAVING INFORMATION INTO THE
21 TRANSMISSION SYSTEM.

22 AND THE STIPULATION SAYS THAT THE PARTIES
23 AGREE THAT THE TRANSMISSION SYSTEM IS LOCATED AT
24 THE CENTRAL PROCESSING LOCATION AND THAT THE
25 FORMATTING STEPS, INCLUDING STEPS A THROUGH D

92

U. S. COURT REPORTERS

1 LISTED ABOVE, ARE PERFORMED BY THE TRANSMISSION
2 SYSTEM.

3 NOW, THEY DID IT BECAUSE THEY HAD, THEY
4 HAD POTENTIAL INDEFINITENESS ARGUMENTS PROBLEMS IF
5 THEY DIDN'T SO THAT'S WHAT STIMULATED THIS BUT THEY
6 CANNOT WALK AWAY FROM THE STIPULATION. THE STEP
7 HAS TO BE PERFORMED BY THE TRANSMISSION SYSTEM.

8 A SOURCE -- WE HAVE THE SAME STIPULATION

9 ON CLAIM 14.
10 THE, THE -- SO WHAT COMPONENT OF A
11 TRANSMISSION SYSTEM CAN DO IT? AND I WOULD OFFER
12 THE SOURCE MATERIAL LIBRARY IS THE ONLY COMPONENT
13 THAT CAN DO IT.
14 THE COURT ASKED ABOUT THE IDENTIFICATION
15 ENCODER AND THE ONLY POINT I WANT TO MAKE ABOUT
16 THIS IS, IS THE IDENTIFICATION ENCODER CAN ONLY
17 ACCEPT A PHYSICAL OBJECT FROM THE SOURCE MATERIAL
18 LIBRARY WHEN, WHEN THE, WHICH MEANS THAT THE, THAT
19 THE PHYSICAL OBJECT IS ALREADY IN THE TRANSMISSION
20 SYSTEM AT THE TIME THAT THE IDENTIFICATION ENCODER
21 GETS IT.
22 THIS CALLS FOR INPUTTING ITEMS HAVING
23 INFORMATION INTO THE TRANSMISSION SYSTEM.
24 WHEN THE IDENTIFICATION ENCODER GETS IT
25 FROM THE SOURCE MATERIAL LIBRARY BY THE TRANSFER,

93

U. S. COURT REPORTERS

1 IT WAS ALREADY IN THE TRANSMISSION SYSTEM BECAUSE
2 THE SOURCE MATERIAL LIBRARY IS PART OF THE
3 TRANSMISSION SYSTEM.
4 SO THE ONLY PLACE WHERE THE INPUTTING
5 INTO THE TRANSMISSION SYSTEM COULD HAVE OCCURRED IS
6 AT THE SOURCE MATERIAL LIBRARY.
7 THE COURT: IF YOUR ARGUMENT IS CORRECT,
8 WHY DO YOU ALLOW FOR ANY INPUT INTO THE SOURCE
9 MATERIAL LIBRARY? BECAUSE IF YOU ALLOW FOR AN
10 INPUT THERE, WITH NO INPUTS SHOWN, THEN YOU MUST

11 ALLOW FOR AN INPUT ANY PLACE ELSE WITHOUT AN INPUT
12 BEING SHOWN.

13 MR. BENYACAR: WELL, THE COURT IS CORRECT
14 IN THAT WHEN I TRIED TO START MY ARGUMENT I SAID
15 WE'RE AT A DISADVANTAGE BECAUSE THIS STEP IS NOT
16 DESCRIBED AT ALL.

17 SO WRITTEN DESCRIPTION ISSUES ASIDE,
18 WE'RE STARTING WITH NO, WITH NO, WITH NO WRITTEN
19 DESCRIPTION TO USE AS A BASIS.

20 SO THE ONLY WRITTEN DESCRIPTION THAT I
21 CAN USE AS A BASIS IS THAT SINCE THESE PHYSICAL
22 OBJECTS ALWAYS START IN THE SOURCE MATERIAL
23 LIBRARY, NONE OF THESE OTHER COMPONENTS ACCEPT
24 PHYSICAL OBJECTS OR PROCESS PHYSICAL OBJECTS AND
25 THE IDENTIFICATION ENCODER IS ONLY DESCRIBED AS

94

U. S. COURT REPORTERS

1 RECEIVING THE, THE IDENTIFICATION ENCODER -- LET ME
2 START AGAIN.

3 NONE OF THE COMPONENTS AFTER THE
4 IDENTIFICATION ENCODER PROCESS PHYSICAL OBJECTS,
5 ITEMS CONTAINING INFORMATION. IT'S ONLY
6 INFORMATION FROM HERE ON END. AND THAT ONLY LEAVES
7 YOU WITH TWO SOURCE MATERIAL LIBRARY AND
8 IDENTIFICATION ENCODER. THE IDENTIFICATION ENCODER
9 IS DESCRIBED AS RECEIVING THE PHYSICAL OBJECTS FROM
10 THE SOURCE MATERIAL LIBRARY.

11 WHAT DOES THAT MEAN? THAT MEANS THAT THE
12 PHYSICAL OBJECTS WERE ALREADY IN THE TRANSMISSION
13 SYSTEM WHEN THE IDENTIFICATION ENCODER GOT IT.